



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)



## Finite self dual groups<sup>☆</sup>

Lijian An, Jianfang Ding, Qin Hai Zhang<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen, Shanxi 041004, People's Republic of China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 23 April 2010

Available online 5 July 2011

Communicated by Gernot Stroth

MSC:

20D15

#### Keywords:

Self dual group

Finite  $p$ -group

Minimal non-abelian  $p$ -group

### ABSTRACT

A group  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if every subgroup of  $G$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . It is  $q$ -self dual if every quotient of  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G$ . It is self dual if it is both  $s$ -self dual and  $q$ -self dual. In this paper, the structure of finite self dual groups is determined.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A group  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if every subgroup of  $G$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . It is  $q$ -self dual if every quotient of  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G$ . It is self dual if it is both  $s$ -self dual and  $q$ -self dual. The study of finite self dual groups was initiated by Spencer in [6]. He obtained the following results:

**Theorem 1.1.** *A finite group  $G$  is self dual if and only if  $G$  is nilpotent and all Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are self dual.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group, then each subgroup of  $P$  is self dual if and only if  $P$  is abelian or  $P = H \times K$ , where  $H$  is the extraspecial group of order  $p^3$  and exponent  $p$ , and  $K$  is elementary abelian.*

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by NSFC (No. 11071150), by NSF of Shanxi Province (No. 2008012001) and The Returned Abroad-Student Fund of Shanxi Province (No. [2007]13-56).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [zhangqh@dns.sxnu.edu.cn](mailto:zhangqh@dns.sxnu.edu.cn) (Q.H. Zhang).

By Theorem 1.1, to study finite self dual groups, we only need to study finite self dual  $p$ -groups. A natural question is: what is the structure of finite self dual  $p$ -groups? In fact, classifying such groups is Problem 706 proposed by Berkovich and Janko in [1].

In this paper, the structure of finite  $s$ -self dual groups is determined, and as a by-product, the structure of finite self dual groups is also determined. Naturally we propose the following

**Question.** What is the structure of  $q$ -self dual groups?

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. We use  $c(G)$  and  $d(G)$  to denote the nilpotency class of  $G$  and the minimal number of generators respectively. We define  $\Omega_1(G) = \langle a \in G \mid a^p = 1 \rangle$  and  $\Omega_1(G) = \langle a^p \mid a \in G \rangle$ . Let

$$G > G_2 > \cdots > G_{c+1} = 1$$

denote the lower central series of  $G$ , where  $c = c(G)$ . We use  $C_n$  and  $C_n^m$  to denote the cyclic group and the direct product of  $m$  cyclic groups of order  $n$ , respectively.

If  $G$  is a finite group, then  $G$  is *minimal non-abelian* if  $G$  is non-abelian, but every proper subgroup of  $G$  is abelian.

We use  $M_p(m, n)$  to denote groups  $\langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+p^{m-1}} \rangle$ , where  $m \geq 2$ . We use  $M_p(m, n, 1)$  to denote groups  $\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = c^p = 1, [a, b] = c, [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle$ , where  $m \geq n$ , and if  $p = 2$ , then  $m + n \geq 3$ . For other notation and terminology the reader is referred to [3].

**Theorem 2.1.** (See [5].) If  $G$  is a minimal non-abelian  $p$ -group, then  $G$  is  $Q_8$ ,  $M_p(m, n)$ , or  $M_p(m, n, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** (See [8, Lemma 2.2].) If  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $G$  is a minimal non-abelian  $p$ -group;
- (2)  $d(G) = 2$  and  $|G'| = p$ ;
- (3)  $d(G) = 2$  and  $Z(G) = \Phi(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** (See [7, Chapter IV, Proposition 1.5].) Let  $G$  be a group having subgroups  $A, B, C$ , and let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . If  $[B, C, A] \leq N$  and  $[C, A, B] \leq N$ , then  $[A, B, C] \leq N$ .

A *right operator group* is a triple  $(G, \Omega, \alpha)$  consisting of a group  $G$ , a set  $\Omega$  called the *operator domain*, and a function  $\alpha : G \times \Omega \rightarrow G$  such that  $g \mapsto (g, \omega)\alpha$  is an endomorphism of  $G$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . We write  $g^\omega$  for  $(g, \omega)\alpha$  and speak of the  $\Omega$ -group  $G$  if the function  $\alpha$  is understood. If  $G$  is an  $\Omega$ -group, an  $\Omega$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a subgroup  $H$  which is  $\Omega$ -admissible. Namely,  $h^\omega \in H$  for  $h \in H$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ . An  $\Omega$ -homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism between  $\Omega$ -groups  $G$  and  $H$  such that

$$(g^\omega)^\alpha = (g^\alpha)^\omega$$

for all  $g \in G$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ . We also speak of  $\Omega$ -endomorphisms ( $\Omega$ -homomorphisms from a group to itself) and  $\Omega$ -automorphisms (bijective  $\Omega$ -endomorphisms).

**Theorem 2.4.** (See [7, Chapter III, Theorem 2.14].) If  $G$  is a finite  $\Omega$ -group, then  $G$  can be expressed as a direct product of finitely many non-trivial  $\Omega$ -subgroups:

$$G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r.$$

If  $G = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_s$ , where  $K_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ) are non-trivial  $\Omega$ -subgroups, then  $r = s$  and there is an  $\Omega$ -automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  such that, after suitable relabeling of the  $K_j$ 's if necessary,  $H_i^\alpha = K_i$  and  $G = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_k \times H_{k+1} \times \cdots \times H_r$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** (See [7, Chapter I, Theorem 5.7].) If  $K$  is a subgroup of a group  $G$ , then  $N_G(K)/C_G(K)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(K)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** If  $G$  is a group of order  $p^n$  where  $n \geq 4$ , then  $G$  has an abelian normal subgroup of order  $p^3$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  with order  $p^2$ . Then Lemma 2.5 shows that  $G/C_G(K)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(K)$ . It follows that  $|G/C_G(K)| \leq p$ , and hence  $|C_G(K)| \geq p^3$ . Thus there is a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  contained in  $C_G(K)$  with order  $p^3$ . It is easy to see that  $N$  is abelian.  $\square$

### 3. Properties and examples of finite $s$ -self dual $p$ -groups

First, we give some properties of finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -groups.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $G$  be a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group.

- (1) If  $H \leq G$ , then  $d(H) \leq d(G)$ .
- (2)  $\exp(G) = \exp(G/G')$ .
- (3) If  $K$  is an abelian subgroup of  $G$ , then  $|K| \leq |G/G'|$ .
- (4) If  $K$  is an abelian subgroup of  $G$  and  $|K| = |G/G'|$ , then  $K \cong G/G'$ .
- (5)  $G/G_n$  is  $s$ -self dual.
- (6)  $G/\bar{U}_1(G')$  is  $s$ -self dual.

**Proof.** (1) Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $H$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $H \cong G/N$ . Then  $d(H) = d(G/N) \leq d(G)$ .

(2) Take  $a \in G$  such that  $o(a) = \exp(G)$ . Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $\langle a \rangle$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $\langle a \rangle \cong G/N$ . Since  $G/N$  is abelian,  $G' \leq N$ . Then  $G/N \cong (G/G')/(N/G')$ , and hence  $\exp(G/N) \leq \exp(G/G')$ . Then  $\exp(G) = o(a) = \exp(G/N) \leq \exp(G/G')$ . On the other hand,  $\exp(G/G') \leq \exp(G)$ . Hence  $\exp(G) = \exp(G/G')$ .

(3) Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $K$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $K \cong G/N$ . Since  $G/N$  is abelian,  $G' \leq N$ . Hence  $|K| = |G/N| \leq |G/G'|$ .

(4) It follows from the proof of (3).

(5) Let  $L/G_n \leq G/G_n$ . Then  $L \leq G$ . Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $L \cong G/M$ . Then  $L/G_n$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G/M$ . Let  $L/G_n \cong (G/M)/(N/M) \cong G/N$ . Since  $c(G/G_n) = n - 1$ , we have  $c(L/G_n) \leq n - 1$ . It follows that  $c(G/N) \leq n - 1$ , and hence  $G_n \leq N$ . Then  $L/G_n \cong G/N \cong (G/G_n)/(N/G_n)$ . By the arbitrariness of  $L/G_n$ ,  $G/G_n$  is also  $s$ -self dual.

(6) Let  $L/\bar{U}_1(G') \leq G/\bar{U}_1(G')$ . Then  $L \leq G$ . Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $L \cong G/M$ . Then  $L/\bar{U}_1(G')$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G/M$ . Let  $L/\bar{U}_1(G') \cong (G/M)/(N/M) \cong G/N$ . Since  $\exp(G/\bar{U}_1(G'))' = p$ , we have  $\exp(L/\bar{U}_1(G'))' = p$ . It follows that  $\exp(G/N)' = p$ , and hence  $\bar{U}_1(G') \leq N$ . Then  $L/\bar{U}_1(G') \cong G/N \cong (G/\bar{U}_1(G'))/(N/\bar{U}_1(G'))$ . By the arbitrariness of  $L/\bar{U}_1(G')$ ,  $G/\bar{U}_1(G')$  is also  $s$ -self dual.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $H \times \langle a \rangle$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group, then every maximal subgroup of  $H$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $H$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = H \times \langle a \rangle$  and let  $L$  be a maximal subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $L \times \langle a \rangle$  is also a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $L \times \langle a \rangle$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $L \times \langle a \rangle \cong G/M$  and  $|\langle a \rangle| = p^k$ .

If  $M \leq H$ , then  $G/M \cong H/M \times \langle a \rangle$ . Theorem 2.4 gives that  $L \cong H/M$ .

If  $M \not\leq H$ , then  $M \cap H = 1$ . Let  $G/M = T/M \times \langle bM \rangle$ , where  $T/M \cong L$ ,  $\langle bM \rangle \cong \langle a \rangle$ . Then  $G = T \langle b \rangle$ ,  $|T| = |H|$ ,  $(bM)^{p^k} = M$  and  $[b, T] \in M$ . Since  $|G/H| = p^k$ , we deduce that  $b^{p^k} \in H$ . It follows from  $(bM)^{p^k} = M$  that  $b^{p^k} \in M$ . Hence  $b^{p^k} \in H \cap M = 1$ . It follows that  $\langle b \rangle \cong C_{p^k}$ . Since  $G' \leq H$ ,  $[b, T] \in H \cap M = 1$ . It follows that  $G = T \times \langle b \rangle$ . Theorem 2.4 gives that  $T \cong H$ . Since  $L \cong T/M$ ,  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $H$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $H \times \langle a \rangle$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group, then every subgroup of  $H$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $H$ . In particular,  $H$  is also  $s$ -self dual.*

**Proof.** Let  $L$  be a proper subgroup of  $H$ . We argue by induction on  $|H : L|$ . Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $H$  such that  $L$  is maximal in  $K$ . Since  $|H : K| < |H : L|$ , by induction  $K$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $H$ . Assume that  $K \cong H/N$  and  $T/N$  is a maximal subgroup of  $H/N$  which is isomorphic to  $L$ . Then  $T$  is maximal in  $H$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $T$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $H$ . Assume that  $T \cong H/P$ . Since  $L \cong T/N$ ,  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $H/P$ . Assume that  $L \cong (H/P)/(M/P)$ . Then  $L \cong H/M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $H \times M$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group, where  $M$  is abelian, then  $H$  is also  $s$ -self dual.*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  can be expressed as a direct product of cyclic groups,  $H$  is  $s$ -self dual by Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** A group  $G$  is *basic  $s$ -self dual* if  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual and  $H' < G'$  for every proper subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group, and  $H$  is a minimal element of the set  $\{H \mid H' = G'\}$ , then there is a subgroup  $M$  of  $Z(G)$  such that  $G = H \times M$ . Moreover,  $H$  is basic  $s$ -self dual.*

**Proof.** Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $H$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $G/M \cong H$ . It follows from  $G' = H' \cong (G/M)' = G'M/M \cong G'/(M \cap G')$  that  $G' \cap M = 1$ . Since  $[M, G] \leq G' \cap M = 1$ ,  $M \leq Z(G)$ .

By the minimality of  $H$ , for every proper subgroup  $K/M$  of  $G/M$ , we have  $(K/M)' < (G/M)'$ . Also  $(HM/M)' = H'M/M \cong H'/(H' \cap M) \cong H' \cong (G/M)'$ . Hence  $HM/M$  is not a proper subgroup of  $G/M$ . It follows that  $G = HM$ . Since  $H \cong G/M = HM/M \cong H/(H \cap M)$ , we deduce that  $H \cap M = 1$ . Hence  $G = H \times M$ . Finally, Theorem 3.4 gives that  $H$  is basic  $s$ -self dual.  $\square$

We give two examples of finite non-abelian  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -groups. If  $G = M_p(1, 1, 1) \times C_p^k$ , then every subgroup of  $G$  is self dual by Theorem 1.2. Hence  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual and self dual. We prove below that if  $G = M_p(n, n) \times M$ , where  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) < p^n$ , then  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual but not  $q$ -self dual. In fact, these two examples are the only finite non-abelian  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -groups. We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $G = H \times M$  be a finite  $p$ -group, where  $H \cong M_p(n, n)$ ,  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) < p^n$ . If  $x, y \in G$  such that  $[x, y] \neq 1$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \cong M_p(n, n)$  and  $G = \langle x, y \rangle \times M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^n} = b^{p^n} = 1, [a, b] = a^{p^{n-1}} \rangle$ . Since  $Z(G) = Z(H) \times M = \langle a^p, b^p \rangle \times M$ , we deduce that  $G/Z(G) = \langle aZ(G), bZ(G) \rangle$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^2$ . Since  $[x, y] \neq 1$ , we have  $x, y \notin Z(G)$  and  $\langle xZ(G), yZ(G) \rangle = \langle aZ(G), bZ(G) \rangle = G/Z(G)$ . Hence  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle az_1, bz_2 \rangle$ , where  $z_1, z_2 \in Z(G)$ . Without loss of generality, we let  $x = az_1$ ,  $y = bz_2$ . Since  $\exp(Z(G)) < p^n$ ,  $[x, y] = [az_1, bz_2] = a^{p^{n-1}} = x^{p^{n-1}}$ . Hence  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^n} = y^{p^n} = 1, [x, y] = x^{p^{n-1}} \rangle \cong M_p(n, n)$ .

Observe that  $\Omega_1(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x^{p^{n-1}}, y^{p^{n-1}} \rangle = \langle a^{p^{n-1}}, b^{p^{n-1}} \rangle$  and  $\Omega_1(\langle x, y \rangle \cap M) \leq \Omega_1(\langle x, y \rangle) \cap M = \langle a^{p^{n-1}}, b^{p^{n-1}} \rangle \cap M = 1$ . It follows that  $\langle x, y \rangle \cap M = 1$ . Hence  $G = \langle x, y \rangle \times M$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** If  $G = H \times M$  is a finite  $p$ -group, where  $H \cong M_p(n, n)$ ,  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) < p^n$ , then  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual but not  $q$ -self dual.

**Proof.** We have  $Z(G) = Z(H) \times M$ . It follows that  $G/Z(G) \cong H/Z(H)$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^2$ . Since  $Z(G)$  is contained in every maximal abelian subgroup of  $G$ , there is a bijection from the set of maximal abelian subgroups of  $G$  to the set of maximal subgroups of  $G/Z(G)$ . Hence there are  $1 + p$  maximal abelian subgroups in  $G$ . Let  $H = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^n} = b^{p^n} = 1, [a, b] = a^{p^{n-1}} \rangle$ . The maximal abelian subgroups of  $G$  are:  $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times M$  and  $\langle b^p \rangle \times \langle ab^i \rangle \times M$ , where  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ . Each is isomorphic to  $G/G'$ .

We now prove that every proper subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ .

If  $L$  is abelian, then there is a maximal abelian subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $L \leq K$ . The proof given above shows that  $K \cong G/G'$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $K$  is self dual. It follows that  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $K$ . Hence  $L$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G/G'$ . Assume that  $L \cong (G/G')/(N/G')$ . Then  $L \cong G/N$ .

If  $L$  is not abelian, then there exist  $x, y \in L$  such that  $[x, y] \neq 1$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $G = \langle x, y \rangle \times M$ . Then  $L = L \cap G = L \cap (\langle x, y \rangle \times M) = \langle x, y \rangle \times (L \cap M)$ . Since  $M$  is abelian, Theorem 1.2 gives that  $M$  is self dual. It follows that  $L \cap M$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $M$ . Assume that  $L \cap M \cong M/N$ . Then  $L = \langle x, y \rangle \times (L \cap M) \cong (\langle x, y \rangle \times M)/N = G/N$ .

Finally we prove that  $G$  is not  $q$ -self dual. Let  $N = \langle b^p \rangle \times M$ . Then  $G/N \cong M_p(n, 1)$ . Lemma 3.7 gives that every non-commuting pair of elements of  $G$  generates  $M_p(n, n)$ . It follows that no subgroup of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G/N$ , so  $G$  is not  $q$ -self dual.  $\square$

#### 4. Finite $s$ -self dual $p$ -groups generated by two elements

By Proposition 3.1(1), if  $G$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group generated by two elements, then all subgroups of  $G$  are generated by at most two elements. Finite  $p$ -groups whose subgroups are generated by at most two elements have been classified by [2] and [8]. By checking [8, Main Theorem], we obtain the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. If all subgroups of  $G$  are generated by at most two elements, then  $G$  is one of the following:*

- (1) a metacyclic  $p$ -group;
- (2)  $M_p(1, 1, 1)$ ;
- (3) a 3-group of maximal class having nilpotency class greater than 2 except  $\langle a, c \mid a^9 = b^3 = c^3 = 1, [a, c] = b, [a, b] = a^3, [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ ;
- (4)  $\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^2} = b^p = 1, c^p = a^{\alpha p}, [a, c] = b, [a, b] = a^p, [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ , where  $p \geq 5$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  or a fixed quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ .

We start with metacyclic  $p$ -groups. The following lemma describes them.

**Lemma 4.2.** (See [9, Theorem 2.1].) (1) Every metacyclic  $p$ -group  $G$ , for  $p$  odd, is

$$\langle a, b \mid a^{p^{r+s+u}} = 1, b^{p^{r+s+t}} = a^{p^{r+s}}, a^b = a^{1+p^r} \rangle$$

where  $r, s, t, u$  are non-negative integers with  $r \geq 1$  and  $u \leq r$ . Different values of the parameters  $r, s, t$  and  $u$  give non-isomorphic metacyclic  $p$ -groups.

Furthermore,  $G$  is split if and only if either  $s = 0$ , or  $t = 0$ , or  $u = 0$ .

(2) Let  $G$  be a metacyclic 2-group. Then  $G$  is one of the following.

- (I)  $G = \langle a, b: a^{2^{v+t'+u+1}} = 1, b^{2^{t+1}} = a^{2^{v+t'+1}}, a^b = a^{-1+2^{v+u+1}} \rangle$ , where  $v, t, t'$  and  $u$  are non-negative integers with  $u \leq 1, t' \leq 1, tt' = tv = ut' = 0$  and if  $t + u + v = 0$ , then  $t' = 0$ .
- (II) Ordinary metacyclic 2-group:  $G = \langle a, b: a^{2^{r+s+u}} = 1, b^{2^{r+s+t}} = a^{2^{r+s}}, a^b = a^{1+2^r} \rangle$ , where  $r, s, t, u$  are non-negative integers with  $r \geq 2$  and  $u \leq r$ .

(III) Exceptional metacyclic 2-group:  $G = \langle a, b : a^{2^{r+s+v+t'+u}} = 1, b^{2^{r+s+t}} = a^{2^{r+s+v+t'}}$ ,  $a^b = a^{-1+2^{r+v}}$  \rangle, where  $r, s, v, t, t', u$  are non-negative integers with  $r \geq 2, t' \leq r, u \leq 1, tt' = sv = tv = 0$ , and if  $t' \geq r - 1$  then  $u = 0$ .

Groups of different types, or of the same type but with different values of parameters, are not isomorphic to each other.

A Type (I) group  $G \neq C_2 \times C_2$  is split if and only if  $(t, u) \neq (0, 1)$ ; a Type (II) group is split if and only if either  $s = 0$ , or  $t = 0$ , or  $u = 0$ ; a Type (III) group is split if and only if  $u = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** If  $G$  is a metacyclic  $p$ -group, then  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if and only if  $G$  is abelian or  $G \cong M_p(n, n)$ .

**Proof.** If  $G$  is abelian or  $G \cong M_p(n, n)$ , then  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual by Theorem 1.2 and Example 3.8. We only need to prove the necessity.

(1) Assume  $p$  is odd. By Lemma 4.2, we may let  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^{r+s+u}} = 1, b^{p^{r+s+t}} = a^{p^{r+s}}, b^{-1}ab = a^{1+p^r} \rangle$ , where  $r, s, t, u$  are non-negative integers with  $r \geq 1$  and  $u \leq r$ .

Let  $H_1 = \langle a^{p^{s+u}}, b \rangle$  and  $H_2 = \langle a^{p^{s+u-1}}, b^p \rangle$ . By calculation,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are abelian. Since  $G/G'$  has type invariant  $(p^{r+s+t}, p^r)$  and  $|H_1| = |H_2| = |G/G'| = p^{2r+s+t}$ , Proposition 3.1 gives that  $H_1 \cong H_2 \cong G/G'$ . It follows that  $\exp(H_1) = \exp(H_2) = \exp(G/G') = p^{r+s+t}$ . Since  $\exp(H_1) = p^{r+s+t+u}$  and  $\exp(H_2) = \max\{p^{r+1}, p^{r+s+t+u-1}\}$ , we have  $r+s+t+u = r+1$  and  $u = 0$ . Hence  $s+t = 1$ .

If  $s = 0$  and  $t = 1$ , then  $G' = 1$ , and hence  $G$  is abelian. If  $s = 1$  and  $t = 0$ , then  $|G'| = p$ . In this case  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^{r+1}} = 1, b^{p^{r+1}} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{1+p^r} \rangle \cong M_p(r+1, r+1)$ .

(2) Assume  $p = 2$ . If  $G$  is a group of Type (I) in Lemma 4.2, then  $G$  has cyclic maximal subgroup. It is easy to see that  $G$  is abelian.

If  $G$  is a group of Type (II) in Lemma 4.2, then  $G \cong M_2(n, n)$  by a method similar to (1).

If  $G$  is a group of Type (III) in Lemma 4.2, then we may let  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{r+s+v+t'+u}} = 1, b^{2^{r+s+t}} = a^{2^{r+s+v+t'}}$ ,  $a^b = a^{-1+2^{r+v}}$  \rangle, where  $r, s, v, t, t', u$  are non-negative integers with  $r \geq 2, t' \leq r, u \leq 1, tt' = sv = tv = 0$ , and if  $t' \geq r - 1$  then  $u = 0$ . Let  $H_1 = \langle a^{2^{r+s+v+t'+u-1}}, b \rangle$  and  $H_2 = \langle a^{2^{r+s+v+t'+u-2}}, b^2 \rangle$ . By calculation,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are abelian and  $|H_1| = |H_2| = |G/G'| = 2^{r+s+t+1}$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $H_1 \cong H_2$ , and hence  $\exp(H_1) = \exp(H_2)$ . Since  $\exp(H_1) = 2^{r+s+t+u}$  and  $\exp(H_2) = \max\{2^2, 2^{r+s+t+u-1}\}$ , we have  $r+s+t+u = 2$ . Since  $r \geq 2$  and  $r, s, t, u$  are non-negative integers, we have  $r = 2, s = t = u = 0$ . In this case  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{r+v+t'}} = 1, b^{2^r} = 1, a^b = a^{-1+2^{r+v}}$  \rangle. It follows from Proposition 3.1(2) that  $t' + v = 0$ . Thus  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, [a, b] = a^2 \rangle \cong M_2(2, 2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $G$  is a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group generated by two elements, then  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if and only if  $G$  is isomorphic to  $M_p(1, 1, 1)$  or  $M_p(n, n)$ .

**Proof.** We only need to check those groups listed in Lemma 4.1.

(1) If  $G$  is metacyclic, then it follows from Lemma 4.3 that  $G \cong M_p(n, n)$ .

(2) If  $G \cong M_p(1, 1, 1)$ , then  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual.

(3) If  $G$  is a 3-group of maximal class having nilpotency class greater than 2, then  $|G| \geq 3^4$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $G$  has an abelian normal subgroup of order  $p^3$ . Since  $|G/G'| = p^2$ , Proposition 3.1(3) gives that  $G$  is not  $s$ -self dual.

(4)  $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^2} = b^p = 1, c^p = a^{\alpha p}, [a, c] = b, [a, b] = a^p, [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ , where  $p \geq 5, \alpha = 1$  or a fixed quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . In this case,  $G$  has an abelian maximal subgroup  $H = \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle$ , and  $|G/G'| = p^2$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1(3) that  $G$  is not  $s$ -self dual.  $\square$

## 5. The lower central series of a finite $p$ -group

First, we need a theorem about symplectic bilinear mappings.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $V, W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $f$  be a symplectic bilinear mapping of  $V \times V$  into  $W$ . For  $v \in V$ , define

$$v^\perp = \{u \mid u \in V, f(u, v) = 0\}.$$

Then  $v^\perp$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace of  $V$ , and since  $f$  is symplectic,  $\mathbb{F}v \subseteq v^\perp$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** (See [4, Chapter VIII, Theorem 9.8].) Let  $V, W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $f$  be a symplectic bilinear mapping of  $V \times V$  into  $W$ . Suppose that  $f(X, X) \subset f(V, V)$  for every proper subspace  $X$  of  $V$ . Then  $V$  is spanned by

$$\{v \mid v \in V, v^\perp = \mathbb{F}v\}.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $V, W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $f$  be a symplectic bilinear mapping of  $V \times V$  into  $W$ . Suppose that the dimension of  $f(V, V)$  is  $k$ .

- (1) There is a subspace  $S$  of  $V$  such that  $f(S, S) = f(V, V)$  and the dimension of  $S$  does not exceed  $k + 1$ .
- (2) Let  $k \geq 2$  and let  $S$  be a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $V$  such that  $f(S, S) = f(V, V)$ . If  $f(X, X) \subset f(S, S) = f(V, V)$  for every proper subspace  $X$  of  $S$ , then  $S$  has a 2-dimensional subspace  $A$  such that  $f(A, A) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $S$  be a subspace of  $V$  such that  $f(S, S) = f(V, V)$  and  $f(X, X) \subset f(S, S) = f(V, V)$  for every proper subspace  $X$  of  $S$ . It follows from Theorem 5.2 that  $S$  is spanned by

$$\{s \mid s \in S, s^\perp = \mathbb{F}s\}.$$

Let  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d$  be a basis of  $S$ , where  $s_i^\perp = \mathbb{F}s_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ). We only need to prove that  $d \leq k + 1$ . Otherwise,  $d \geq k + 2$ . Since the dimension of  $f(S, S) = f(V, V)$  is  $k$ , we deduce that  $f(s_1, s_2), f(s_1, s_3), \dots, f(s_1, s_d)$  are linearly dependent. Hence there exists a non-trivial linear combination  $t = j_2 s_2 + j_3 s_3 + \dots + j_d s_d$  such that  $f(s_1, t) = 0$ , contrary to  $s_1^\perp = \mathbb{F}s_1$ .

(2) Assume the contrary. We claim that if  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k+1}$  is a basis of  $S$ , then  $f(a_1, a_2), f(a_1, a_3), \dots, f(a_1, a_{k+1})$  are linearly independent. (Otherwise, consider a non-trivial linear combination  $\beta = j_2 a_2 + j_3 a_3 + \dots + j_{k+1} a_{k+1}$  such that  $j_2 f(a_1, a_2) + j_3 f(a_1, a_3) + \dots + j_{k+1} f(a_1, a_{k+1}) = 0$ . Let  $A$  be the subspace generated by  $a_1$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $A$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $S$  such that  $f(A \times A) = 0$ , a contradiction.)

Then  $f(S, S) = L(f(a_1, a_2), f(a_1, a_3), \dots, f(a_1, a_k)) \oplus L(f(a_1, a_{k+1}))$ . Similarly,  $f(S, S) = L(f(a_2, a_1), f(a_2, a_3), \dots, f(a_2, a_k)) \oplus L(f(a_2, a_{k+1}))$ .

Let  $M = L(f(a_1, a_2), f(a_1, a_3), \dots, f(a_1, a_k))$  and  $N = L(f(a_2, a_1), f(a_2, a_3), \dots, f(a_2, a_k))$ . If  $M \neq N$ , letting  $X = L(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ , then  $f(X, X) = f(S, S)$ , contrary to the hypothesis. Hence we have  $M = N$ .

Let  $f(a_2, a_{k+1}) = if(a_1, a_{k+1}) + m$  where  $m \in M$ . Then  $f(a_2 - ia_1, a_{k+1}) = m \in M$ . Let  $a'_2 = a_2 - ia_1$  and  $N' = L(f(a'_2, a_1), f(a'_2, a_3), \dots, f(a'_2, a_k))$ . Then  $M = L(f(a_1, a'_2), f(a_1, a_3), \dots, f(a_1, a_k))$  and  $N' = M$ . Since  $f(a'_2, a_{k+1}) \in M = N'$ ,  $f(a'_2, a_1), f(a'_2, a_3), \dots, f(a'_2, a_{k+1})$  are linearly dependent, contrary to the above assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** If  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group,  $c(G) = 2$  and  $G' \cong C_p^k$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup  $K$  such that  $K' = G'$  and  $d(K) \leq k + 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $G' \cong C_p^k$ , it may be viewed as a  $k$ -dimensional linear space over  $GF(p)$ . Let  $\bar{G} = G/\Phi(G)$ . Then  $\bar{G}$  may be considered as a linear space over  $GF(p)$ . Let  $f$  be a mapping from  $\bar{G} \times \bar{G}$  to  $G'$  such that  $f(\bar{g}, \bar{h}) = [g, h]$  for all  $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \bar{G}$ . It follows from  $c(G) = 2$  that  $f$  is a symplectic bilinear mapping and  $f(\bar{G}, \bar{G}) = G'$ . By Lemma 5.3(1), there is a subspace  $\bar{K}$  of  $\bar{G}$  such that  $f(\bar{K}, \bar{K}) = G'$  and the dimension of  $\bar{K}$  does not exceed  $k + 1$ . Assume that  $\bar{K} = L(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_s)$ , where  $s \leq k + 1$ . If  $K = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \rangle$ , then  $K' = G'$ , and  $d(K) \leq k + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group,  $c(G) = 2$  and  $d(G') = k$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup  $K$  such that  $K' = G'$  and  $d(K) \leq k + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{G} = G/\bar{U}_1(G')$ . Then  $\bar{G}' \cong C_p^k$ . It follows from Lemma 5.4 that  $\bar{G}$  has a subgroup  $\bar{K}$  such that  $\bar{K}' = \bar{G}'$  and  $d(\bar{K}) \leq k + 1$ . Assume that  $\bar{K} = \langle \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_s \rangle$  where  $s \leq k + 1$ , and let  $K = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s \rangle$ . Then  $K'\bar{U}_1(G') = G'$ . Hence  $K' = G'$  and  $d(K) \leq k + 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *If  $G$  is a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group and  $|G'| = p^k$ , then  $G$  has a subgroup  $K$  such that  $d(K) \leq k + 1$  and  $K_n = G_n$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c(G)$ .*

**Proof.** We argue by induction on  $c = c(G)$ . If  $c = 2$ , then the result follows from Lemma 5.5. We assume that  $c \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $|G_c| = p^s$ , and let  $\bar{G} = G/G_c$ . Then  $c(\bar{G}) = c - 1$  and  $|\bar{G}'| = p^{k-s}$ . By induction,  $\bar{G}$  has a subgroup  $\bar{H}$  such that  $d(\bar{H}) \leq k - s + 1$  and  $\bar{H}_n = \bar{G}_n$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c - 1$ . Assume that  $\bar{H} = \langle \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_t \rangle$  where  $t \leq k - s + 1$ , and let  $H = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t \rangle$ . Then  $H_n G_c = G_n$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c$ .

First, we assume that  $\Phi(G_c) = 1$ , namely,  $G_c$  is elementary abelian. Since  $G_c = [G_{c-1}, G] = [H_{c-1}G_c, G] = [H_{c-1}, G]$ , there exist  $h_i \in H_{c-1}$  and  $g_i \in G$  such that  $G_c = \langle [h_i, g_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, s \rangle$ . Let  $K = \langle H, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s \rangle$ . Then  $K_c \geq [H_{c-1}, K] \geq G_c$ . Obviously,  $K_n \geq H_n$  and  $K_n \geq K_c = G_c$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c$ . It follows that  $K_n \geq H_n G_c = G_n$  and hence  $K_n = G_n$ .

Now assume that  $|\Phi(G_c)| = p^u$  where  $u \geq 1$ , and let  $\tilde{G} = G/\Phi(G_c)$ . Then  $\tilde{G}'$  is elementary abelian and  $|\tilde{G}'| = p^{k-u}$ . By the case of  $\Phi(G_c) = 1$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  has a subgroup  $\tilde{K}$  such that  $d(\tilde{K}) \leq k - u + 1$  and  $\tilde{K}_n = \tilde{G}_n$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c$ . Assume that  $\tilde{K} = \langle \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \dots, \tilde{b}_v \rangle$  where  $v \leq k - u + 1$ , and let  $K = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_v \rangle$ . Then  $K_n \Phi(G_c) = G_n$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c$ . Since  $\Phi(G_c) \leq \Phi(G_n)$ , we deduce that  $K_n = G_n$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq c$ .  $\square$

## 6. Finite $s$ -self dual $p$ -groups with elementary abelian derived group

**Lemma 6.1.** *If  $G$  is a basic finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group,  $c(G) = 2$  and  $\exp(G') = p$ , then  $|G'| = p$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $|G'| = p^k$ . Then  $d(G) \leq k + 1$  by Theorem 5.6 and Definition 3.5. Since  $c(G) = 2$ ,  $G' \leq Z(G)$  and  $G' \cong C_p^k$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1(1) that  $d(G) \geq d(G') = k$ . Hence  $d(G) = k$  or  $k + 1$ .

If  $d(G) = k$ , letting  $a$  be an element of  $G$  with maximal order, then  $\langle a \rangle$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$  since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual. Assume that  $\langle a \rangle \cong G/N = \langle bN \rangle$ . Then  $b$  is also an element of  $G$  with maximal order and  $\langle b \rangle \cap N = 1$ . Since  $G' \leq N$ , we have  $\langle b \rangle \cap G' = 1$ . Hence  $\langle b, G' \rangle = G' \times \langle b \rangle$  is an abelian group generated by  $k + 1$  elements, which is contradicted by Proposition 3.1(1). Hence  $d(G) = k + 1$ .

To prove  $|G'| = p$ , we only need to prove  $k = 1$ . Assume that  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $G' \cong C_p^k$ , it may be viewed as a  $k$ -dimensional linear space over  $GF(p)$ . Let  $\bar{G} = G/\Phi(G)$ . Then  $\bar{G}$  may be viewed as a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional linear space over  $GF(p)$ . Let  $f$  be a mapping from  $\bar{G} \times \bar{G}$  to  $G'$  such that  $f(\bar{g}, \bar{h}) = [g, h]$  for all  $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \bar{G}$ . It follows from  $c(G) = 2$  that  $f$  is a symplectic bilinear mapping and  $f(\bar{G}, \bar{G}) = G'$ . Since  $G$  is basic  $s$ -self dual,  $f(\bar{X}, \bar{X}) \subset G'$  for every proper subspace  $\bar{X}$  of  $\bar{G}$ . By Lemma 5.3(2),  $\bar{G}$  has a 2-dimensional subspace  $\bar{A}$  such that  $f(\bar{A}, \bar{A}) = 0$ . Assume that  $\bar{A} = L(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)$  and let  $A = \langle x_1, x_2, \Phi(G) \rangle$ . Then  $|G/A| = p^{k-1}$ , and hence  $|A| = \frac{|G|}{p^{k-1}}$ . Since  $c(G) = 2$  and  $\exp(G') = p$ , we have  $\Phi(G) \leq Z(G)$ , and hence  $A$  is abelian. Moreover,  $|A| = \frac{|G|}{p^{k-1}} > \frac{|G|}{p^k} = |G/G'|$ , which is contradicted by Proposition 3.1(3).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group,  $c(G) = 2$  and  $\exp(G') = p$ , then  $|G'| = p$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.6,  $G$  is a direct product of  $H$  and  $M$ , where  $H$  is basic  $s$ -self dual and  $M$  is abelian. Lemma 6.1 shows that  $|H'| = p$ . Hence  $|G'| = p$ .  $\square$



**Lemma 6.3.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group and  $\exp(G') = p$ , then  $|G'| = p$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 6.2, we only need to prove  $c(G) = 2$ . Otherwise, let  $G$  be a counter-example with minimal order. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that  $G$  is a basic finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group. By Proposition 3.1(5) and the minimality of  $G$ ,  $c(G) = 3$ .

Let  $\bar{G} = G/G_3$ . Then  $c(\bar{G}) = 2$ . By Proposition 3.1(5),  $\bar{G}$  is  $s$ -self dual. Since  $\exp(G') = p$ ,  $\exp(\bar{G}') = p$ . Then  $|\bar{G}'| = p$  by Corollary 6.2. Assume that  $\bar{G}' = \langle [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \rangle$ . Then we may let  $\bar{G} = \langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle \times \langle \bar{c}_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \bar{c}_t \rangle$  by Theorem 3.6. Moreover, we may let  $G = \langle a, b, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t \rangle$ . Since  $|G'/G_3| = |\bar{G}'| = p$ , we have  $G' = \langle [a, b], G_3 \rangle$ . Since  $[a, c_i] \in G_3 \leq Z(G)$ ,  $[a, c_i, b] = 1$ . This also implies that  $[c_i, b, a] = 1$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $[b, a, c_i] = 1$ . Hence  $G_3 = [G', G] = \langle [b, a, c_i], [b, a, a], [b, a, b] \rangle = \langle [b, a, a], [b, a, b] \rangle$ . If  $S = \langle a, b \rangle$ , then  $S' = G'$ . Since  $G$  is basic  $s$ -self dual,  $G = S = \langle a, b \rangle$ . By Theorem 4.4,  $G$  is  $M_p(1, 1, 1)$  or  $M_p(n, n)$ , which contradicts  $c(G) = 3$ .  $\square$

The following theorem describes the structure of a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group whose derived group is elementary abelian.

**Theorem 6.4.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group with elementary abelian derived group, then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- (1)  $M_p(1, 1, 1) \times M$ , where  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) \leq p$ ;
- (2)  $M_p(n, n) \times M$ , where  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) < p^n$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.3,  $|G'| = p$ . Let  $H$  be a minimal non-abelian subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is a minimal element of the set  $\{H \mid H' = G'\}$ . By Theorem 3.6, there is a subgroup  $M$  of  $Z(G)$  such that  $G = H \times M$  and  $H$  is basic  $s$ -self dual. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that  $H$  is isomorphic to  $M_p(1, 1, 1)$  or  $M_p(n, n)$ .

(1) If  $H$  is isomorphic to  $M_p(1, 1, 1)$ , then  $G \cong M_p(1, 1, 1) \times M$ . In this case, we claim that  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if and only if  $\exp(M) \leq p$ .

Sufficiency follows from Theorem 1.2. We only need to prove the necessity. Take  $d \in M$  such that  $o(d) = \exp(M)$ , and let  $A = \langle ad, b \rangle$ . Then  $A$  is minimal non-abelian by Theorem 2.2. Hence  $A$  is a minimal element of the set  $\{H \mid H' = G'\}$ . By Theorem 3.6, there is a subgroup  $L$  of  $Z(G)$  such that  $G = A \times L$  and  $A$  is basic  $s$ -self dual. Since  $A$  cannot be isomorphic to  $M_p(n, n)$ , we have  $A \cong M_p(1, 1, 1)$ . Hence  $\exp(M) = o(d) \leq p$ .

(2) If  $H$  is isomorphic to  $M_p(n, n)$ , then  $G \cong M_p(n, n) \times M$ . In this case, we claim that  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if and only if  $\exp(M) < p^n$ .

Sufficiency follows from Example 3.8. We only need to prove the necessity. Take  $c \in M$  such that  $o(c) = \exp(M)$ , and let  $K = \langle ac, b \rangle$ . Then  $K$  is minimal non-abelian by Theorem 2.2. Hence  $K$  is a minimal element of the set  $\{H \mid H' = G'\}$ . By Theorem 3.6, there is a subgroup  $L$  of  $Z(G)$  such that  $G = K \times L$  and  $K$  is basic  $s$ -self dual. Since  $K$  cannot be isomorphic to  $M_p(1, 1, 1)$ , we have  $K \cong M_p(n, n)$ . Hence  $\exp(M) = o(c) \leq p^n$ . If  $\exp(M) = p^n$ , then  $K = \langle ac, b \mid (ac)^{p^n} = b^{p^n} = 1, [ac, b] = a^{p^{n-1}} \rangle \not\cong M_p(n, n)$ . Hence we have  $\exp(M) < p^n$ .  $\square$

## 7. Finite $s$ -self dual groups and self dual groups

Now we determine the structure of finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -groups.

**Theorem 7.1.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $s$ -self dual  $p$ -group, then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of following:*

- (1) an abelian  $p$ -group;
- (2)  $M_p(1, 1, 1) \times M$ , where  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) \leq p$ ;
- (3)  $M_p(n, n) \times M$ , where  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) < p^n$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $G$  is not abelian and let  $H$  be a minimal element of the set  $\{H \mid H' = G'\}$ . By Theorem 3.6, there is a subgroup  $M$  of  $Z(G)$  such that  $G = H \times M$  and  $H$  is basic  $s$ -self dual. It follows from

Proposition 3.1(6) that  $H/\mathcal{U}_1(H')$  is also  $s$ -self dual. Since  $\exp(H/\mathcal{U}_1(H'))' = \exp(H'/\mathcal{U}_1(H')) = p$ , Theorem 6.4 shows that  $|H/\mathcal{U}_1(H')| = |H'/\mathcal{U}_1(H')| = p$ . Hence  $H'$  is cyclic. Moreover, there exist  $a, b \in H$  such that  $\langle [a, b] \rangle = H'$ . The minimality of  $H$  implies that  $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Theorem 4.4 shows that  $|H'| = p$ . Hence  $G$  is (2) or (3) by Theorem 6.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.2.** *If  $P$  is a finite  $p$ -group, then  $P$  is self dual if and only if  $P$  is abelian or  $P \cong M_p(1, 1, 1) \times M$ , where  $M$  is abelian and  $\exp(M) \leq p$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 7.1 and Example 3.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.3.** *A finite group  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual if and only if  $G$  is nilpotent and all Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are  $s$ -self dual.*

**Proof.** Let  $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$  be the standard factorization of  $|G|$ .

*Necessity:* Let  $P_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$ . Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $P_i$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . It follows that  $G$  is  $p_i$ -nilpotent. Hence  $G$  is nilpotent. Suppose that  $H_i$  is a subgroup of  $P_i$ . Since  $G$  is  $s$ -self dual,  $H_i$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $G$ . Assume that  $H_i \cong G/N_i$ . Since  $G/N_i$  is a  $p_i$ -subgroup,  $G = P_i N_i$ . It follows that  $H_i \cong P_i N_i / N_i \cong P_i / P_i \cap N_i$ . Hence  $P_i$  is also  $s$ -self dual.

*Sufficiency:* Let  $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_s$ , where  $P_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$ . If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_s$ , where  $H_i \leq P_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Since  $P_i$  is  $s$ -self dual, there exist  $N_i \leq P_i$  such that  $P_i / N_i \cong H_i$ . Then  $G / (N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_s) = (P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_s) / (N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_s) \cong P_1 / N_1 \times P_2 / N_2 \times \cdots \times P_s / N_s \cong H_1 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_s = H$ . Hence  $G$  is also  $s$ -self dual.  $\square$

By Theorems 7.1 and 7.3, the structure of finite  $s$ -self dual groups is completely determined. By Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 7.2, the structure of finite self dual groups is completely determined.

## Acknowledgments

We cordially thank the referee for detailed and valuable comments. Thanks go to Professor Stroth, who provided a valuable reference. Thanks also go to Professor E.A. O'Brien for his careful reading and helpful comments. In particular, he offered assistance on the exposition and language of the paper. All these helped us to improve the paper.

## References

- [1] Y. Berkovich, Z. Janko, Groups of Prime Power Order II, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2008.
- [2] N. Blackburn, Generalization of certain elementary theorems on  $p$ -groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 11 (1961) 1–22.
- [3] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [4] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, Finite Group II, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [5] L. Rédei, Das schiefe Produkt in der Gruppentheorie, Comment. Math. Helv. 20 (1947) 225–267.
- [6] A.E. Spencer, Self dual finite groups, Ist. Venneto Sci. Lett. Arti Atti Cl. Sci. Mat. Natur. 130 (1971/72) 385–391, MR0322052 (48 #416).
- [7] Mingyao Xu, An Introduction to Finite Groups, Science Press, 2001 (in Chinese).
- [8] Mingyao Xu, Lijian An, Qin Hai Zhang, Finite  $p$ -groups all of whose non-abelian proper subgroups are generated by two elements, J. Algebra 319 (2008) 3603–3620.
- [9] Mingyao Xu, Qin Hai Zhang, A classification of metacyclic 2-groups, Algebra Colloq. 13 (2006) 25–34.